

Overview

Modeling fMRI data Predicted response GLM Model Overview

Inference on fMRI data

The TSM ICP Bayesian Model

Data Analysis & Results

Conclusion

Spatial Statistical Inference in Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging (fMRI) data Examining the performace of a trend surface model

Divya Brundavanam

StaTalk2019 @ UniTS

22 November 2019

bdivya@umich.edu



## Overview

#### Overview

- Introduction
- Modeling fMRI data Predicted response GLM Model
- Overview
- Inference on fMRI data
- The TSM ICP Bayesian Model
- Data Analysis & Results
- Conclusion

1 Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging (fMRI) data

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ● 回 ● の Q @

- Nature
- Modeling and Assumptions

2 Performance of an alternative approach



# Neuroimaging to study the brain

#### Overview

#### Introduction

- Modeling fMRI data Predicted response GLM Model Overview
- Inference on fMRI data
- The TSM ICP Bayesian Model
- Data Analysis & Results
- Conclusion

- Non-invasive real-time study of the brain Structural and Functional
- Several existing techniques: PET, fMRI, CT, EEG, MEG

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ● 回 ● の Q @

• Methods to analyze the outputs of these techniques



# Modeling fMRI data



Overview

Introduction

Modeling fMRI data

Predicted response GLM Model Overview

Inference on fMRI data

The TSM ICP Bayesian Model

Data Analysis & Results

Conclusion

## Dimensionality

200,000 voxels for a 3T scanner 100-2000 images/subject 10-40 subjects/population inference study



### Modeling fMRI data Predicted response

#### Overview

Introduction

Modeling fMRI data

Predicted response

GLM Model Overview

Inference on fMRI data

The TSM ICP Bayesian Model

Data Analysis & Results

Conclusion

### Haemodynamic Reponse Function (HRF) Blood oxygen level dependent (BOLD) signal

The predicted response



▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ● 回 ● の Q @

Figure: Obtaining the predicted response at a voxel. Source: FSL Course (http://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fslcourse/)



# Modeling fMRI data



Figure: The GLM framework at each voxel. Source: FSL Course (http://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fslcourse/)



### Modeling fMRI data Contrast maps



 Figure: Generation of contrast maps. Source: FSL Course

 (http://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fslcourse/)



# Modeling fMRI data



Modeling fMRI data Predicted response GLM Model Overview

Inference on fMRI data

The TSM ICP Bayesian Model

Data Analysis & Results

Conclusion



Figure: Typical steps for image processing (Source: Karl Friston, SPM workshop (May 2011))



# Inference on fMRI data Thresholding

Overview

Introduction

Modeling fMRI data Predicted response GLM Model Overview

Inference on fMRI data

The TSM ICP Bayesian Model

Data Analysis & Results

Conclusion

What features to infer on?

- Voxel
- Clusters



Figure: Choosing an appropriate threshold for inference. (Source: Presentation, RFT for Dummies - Part 1 (2009), Lea Firmin and Anna Jafarpour)



# Inference on fMRI data: Multiple testing problem Definition and corrections

Overview

Introduction

Modeling fMRI data Predicted response GLM Model Overview

Inference on fMRI data

The TSM ICP Bayesian Model

Data Analysis & Results

Conclusion

Hypothesis testing in neuroimaging: Multiple testing problem

Measures of error:

- Familywise Error Rate (FWE)
  - Bonferroni correction

$$\alpha_{corr} = \alpha_{FWE} / (n_{\text{Tests}})$$

• Random Field theory

$$p^{vox}(t) \approx R \frac{(4\ln(2))^{3/2}}{((2\pi)^2)} e^{(-t^2/2)} (t^2 - 1)$$

No. of Resels  $R = V/(FWHM_xFWHM_yFWHM_z)$ 

• Permutation testing



# Inference of fMRI data RFT assumptions

**RFT** assumptions:

#### Overview

- Introduction
- Modeling fMRI data Predicted response GLM Model Overview

#### Inference on fMRI data

- The TSM ICP Bayesian Model
- Data Analysis & Results
- Conclusion

- Spatial smoothness of fMRI signal is constant across the brain
- the autocorrelation function is a squared exponential

Eklund et al: Real resting-state data and random task group analyses to compute empirical family-wise error rates for the fMRI software packages SPM,FSL, and AFNI

- High false-positive rates in established methods for cluster-wise inference
- spatial autocorrelation in the data violates the assumption squared exponential assumption of RFT



# An alternative approach



Modeling fMRI data Predicted response GLM Model Overview

#### Inference on fMRI data

The TSM ICP Bayesian Model

Data Analysis & Results

Conclusion

A trend surface model proposed by Heurtas et. al. (2017) employing the instantaneous connectivity parcellation (ICP) (van Oort et al 2016).

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ● 回 ● の Q @



# The Trend Surface Model (TSM)

Overview

Introduction

Modeling fMRI data Predicted response GLM Model

Overview

Inference on fMRI data

The TSM

ICP Bavesian Model

Data Analysis

& Results

Conclusion

#### **Instantaneous Correlation Parcellation** (van Oort et al. 2016)

- Top-down parcellation
- Known large-scale ROI → functionally homogenous sub-regions based on temporal signature



Figure: Simulated time courses using simple sinusoid, transients and Gaussian noise, as presented in Van Oort et al. "Human brain parcellation using time courses of instantaneous correlations" NeuroImage (2017)



# Bayesian Linear Regression

- Overview
- Introduction
- Modeling fMRI data Predicted response
- GLM Model
- Overview
- Inference on fMRI data
- The TSM ICP Bayesian Model
- Data Analysis & Results
- Conclusion

- Basis functions: subnetworks obtained from ICP
- Find a linearly weighted sum of these basis functions

$$\mathbf{y}_s = \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbf{w}_m \phi_m(\mathbf{x}) + \epsilon_s \tag{1}$$

#### where

- M is the total no. of basis functions,  $\epsilon_s \sim N(0,\beta^{-1}), \,\beta$  is the noise precision
- $\mathbf{w}_s = [w_{1,s}, \dots, w_{M,s}]^T$  is an M dimensional weight vector of regression coefficients



## Overview

Introduction

Modeling fMRI data Predicted response GLM Model Overview

Inference on fMRI data

The TSM ICP Bayesian Model

Data Analysis & Results

Conclusion

$$p(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{\Phi}, \mathbf{W}, \mathbf{\Lambda}_{\alpha}, \beta \mid \theta_{\beta}, \theta_{\alpha}) = p(\beta \mid \theta_{\beta})p(\mathbf{\Lambda}_{\alpha} \mid \theta_{\alpha})$$
$$\prod_{s=1}^{S} p(\mathbf{y}_{s} \mid \mathbf{X}, \beta, \mathbf{w}_{s})p(\mathbf{w}_{s} \mid \mathbf{\Lambda}_{\alpha})$$
(2)

-  ${\bf \Phi}$  is a  $V\times M$  matrix of basis functions and  ${\bf Y}$  is a  $V\times S$  matrix of the neuroimaging data for all subjects.

-  $\mathbf{W} = [\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_N]$  is an  $M \times S$  weight matrix, with prior  $p(\mathbf{w}_s \mid \alpha) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}_s \mid \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{\Lambda}_{\alpha}^{-1})$ .  $\mathbf{\Lambda}_{\alpha}$  is the precision matrix with  $\alpha = [\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m]^T$  as hyperparameters.



# Overview

Modeling fMRI data Predicted response GLM Model Overview

Inference on fMRI data

The TSM ICP Bayesian Model

Data Analysis & Results

Conclusion

- The precision matrix is assigned a Wishart prior  $p(\mathbf{\Lambda}_{\alpha} \mid \theta_{\alpha}) = \operatorname{Wish}(\mathbf{\Lambda}_{\alpha} \mid N, \mathbf{P}), N$  is degrees of freedom and  $\mathbf{P}$  is the precision of the prior.

- The noise precision has a Gamma prior  $p(\beta \mid \theta_{\beta}) = \text{Gamma}(\beta \mid a, b)$  where a, b are the shape coefficients.

- Spatial correlations between basis functions by allowing off-diagonal entries in  $\Lambda_{\alpha}$ 



### Data Analysis The Data

#### Overview

Introduction

Modeling fMRI data Predicted response GLM Model Overview

Inference on fMRI data

The TSM ICP Bayesian Model

Data Analysis & Results

Conclusion

1. Resting state fMRI data (used as null data) from the 1000 Functional Connectomes project - Cambridge dataset

- 198 healthy controls (75 M,123 F), 18-30 y.o.
- 3T scanner, 119 time points,  $72 \times 72 \times 47$  voxels

2. Task fMRI data from the Human Connectome Project (500 Subjects release)

- 100+ unrelated healthy subjects
- 3T scanner
- Four tasks: Working memory, Gambling, Emotion and Language tasks

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ● 回 ● の Q @



## Results: Specificity Specificity of the TSM



Inference on fMRI data

The TSM ICP Bayesian Model

Data Analysis & Results

Conclusion

How well does TSM detect false positives?

▲ロト ▲ 同 ト ▲ 国 ト → 国 - の Q ()

 $\bullet$  Randomized box-design:  $\sim$  6 % false positive rate



# Results: Specificity





### Results: Sensitivity Sensitivity of the TSM

## Overview

Introduction

Modeling fMRI data Predicted response GLM Model Overview

Inference on fMRI data

The TSM ICP Bayesian Model

Data Analysis & Results

Conclusion

How well does TSM detect task activation?

- 1000 groups of N=20 subjects selected randomly (without replacement) from 100+ subjects in each of the four tasks from the Human Connectome Project task fMRI data
- One sample t-test; random group shows significant result if atleast one parcel is significant (FWE)
- An ICP parcel that is significant in atleast 50% of random group analysis is considered to be activated



### Results: Sensitivity Sensitivity of TSM: Working Memory task

Overview Introduction

Modeling fMRI data Predicted response GLM Model Overview

Inference on fMRI data

The TSM ICP Bayesian Model

Data Analysis & Results

Conclusion



Figure: Brain activation under the Working Memory task from Barch et al. (2014) (top) and that obtained using TSM (bottom)



### Results: Sensitivity Sensitivity of TSM: Gambling task



Introduction

Modeling fMRI data Predicted response GLM Model Overview

Inference on fMRI data

The TSM ICP Bayesian Model

Data Analysis & Results

Conclusion



Figure: Brain activation under the Gambling task from Barch et al. (2014) (top) and that obtained using TSM (bottom)



### Results: Sensitivity Sensitivity of TSM: Emotion task

Overview

Introduction

Modeling fMRI data Predicted response GLM Model

Overview

Inference on fMRI data

The TSM ICP Bayesian Model

Data Analysis & Results

Conclusion





# Conclusion

#### Overview

Introduction

Modeling fMRI data Predicted response GLM Model Overview

Inference on fMRI data

The TSM ICP Bayesian Model

Data Analysis & Results

Conclusion

The TSM fills an existing need for statistical methods that tackle spatial structure of neuroimaging data, and provides the following advantages:

- Abstracts away the voxels
- Cleaner biological interpretation
- Much fewer basis functions than voxels
   ⇒ highly reduced no. of parameters and hence
  - correction for multiple comparisons
- No commitment to a specific scale of parcellation  $\implies$  applicable to areas requiring high resolution imaging



# Conclusion

Overview Introduction

Modeling fMRI data Predicted response GLM Model Overview

Inference on fMRI data

The TSM ICP Bayesian Model

Data Analysis & Results

Conclusion

TSM provides good specificity and sensitivity, thereby providing a good alternative to currently popular methods of fMRI data analysis and inference.



# Key References

Overview

Introduction

Modeling fMRI data Predicted response GLM Model Overview

Inference on fMRI data

The TSM ICP Bayesian Model

Data Analysis & Results

Conclusion

- 1. Barch et al. "Function in the human connectome: task-fMRI and individual differences in behavior Neuroimage 80, 169189 (2013)
- Beckmann et al. "General multilevel linear modeling for group analysis in fmri". NeuroImage, 20(2):1052–1063 (2003)
- Eklund et al. "Cluster failure: why fMRI inferences for spatial extent have inflated false-positive rates." Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences (2016): 201602413.
- Huertas et al. "Spatial model using multiscale functional parcels" NeuroImage (2017), 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.08.009.
- Van Oort et al. "Human brain parcellation using time courses of instantaneous correlations" NeuroImage (2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage 2017.07.027 = coact



# Acknowledgements

# Overview

- Modeling fMRI data Predicted response GLM Model Overview
- Inference on fMRI data
- The TSM ICP Bayesian Model
- Data Analysis & Results
- Conclusion

• Donders Center for Cognitive Neuroimaging (DCCN), Radboud University, The Netherlands

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ● 回 ● の Q @

- Dr. Andre F. Marquand (DCCN)
- Prof. Christian F. Beckmann (DCCN)
- Prof. Nicola Torelli (University of Trieste)



UDINE DI UDINE		
Overview Introduction Modeling fMRI data Predicted response GLM Model Overview		
Inference on fMRI data The TSM ICP Bayesian Model Data Analysis & Results	Thank you!	
Conclusion		
28 / 28		うくぐ